STSM Report
From: Dr. Janine SCHWEIER, University of Freiburg (DE)
Host: CNR IVALSA (IT)

March 2014

Background

In Italy, there are many low-quality hardwood stands that originate from former coppice forests. Like all over Europe, many of these coppice forests were not managed due to several reasons (e.g., rural emigration to the cities, introduction of fossil fuel heating in households, both leading to a declining market for coppice products) (Laina et al., 2013).
However, coppice forests gained renewed attention during the past two decades because of various reasons. For instance, with rising living standards, protective, environmental, social and cultural functions of forests became more important (Spiecker, 2003). Also, due to increasing use of wood for energy purposes revival of coppice management is being considered as one option to fulfil the market demand for wood biomass for energy (Matula et al., 2012).

Problem outline

The commonly used harvesting system in hardwood forests is motor manual felling and extraction with forwarders or cable skidders, depending on log size, slope gradient and other factors (Bigot and Cuchet, 2003). However, further mechanization of coppice felling operation is desirable for two main reasons

i) manual felling is rather expensive (mostly due to high labour costs). This is especially true for small dimensioned trees which are typical for coppice forests

ii) most of the fatal accidents occur during manual felling operations (Albizu et al., 2013).

However, up to now harvesters are not used in coppice stands because it is difficult for the harvester head to approach stems growing in clumps (Spinelli et al., 2014). Besides stems are not straight as for most softwood species, but they often show a marked sweep. Branches are also larger and have steep insertion angles (Suchomel et al., 2011). Furthermore, coppice stands present additional challenges that make harvesting technically and economically difficult, including small stem size or multiple stem structures from resprouting. However, the main problem remains the capacity of a harvester head to approach stems growing in a clump. In particular, penetration of the head inside the clump is hindered by the feed rollers and the multiple delimbing arms, which are held back by the other stems surrounding the target stem. For this reason feller-bunchers may prove a better option, since they are more compact and may approach stems with less difficulty. In fact, a number of small-stem feller-bunchers are available on the global market, and some of them have met with widespread popularity. That is the case of Nordic thinning equipment, such as the various Bracke, Naarva and Nisula brands. Unfortunately, Nordic machines are designed for handling Nordic wood species, such as pine and birch. The wood of these species is much softer (shows a lower density) than the species managed as coppice in Central and Southern Europe, such as Beech, Chestnut and Oak. For this very reason, several Italian manufacturers have developed new feller-buncher designs, which are larger and stronger than the Nordic ones, without getting as cumberson as full-size Northamerican units. Some of these feller-bunchers have been on the scene for several years, whereas others have just been launched on the Italian market. In any case, no studies have ever documented their field performance, especially when harvesting coppice.

Focus of the study

The goals of the study were: 1) to determine the performance of some of the new feller-bunchers, specifically designed for the harvesting of hardwoods forests 2) to get a picture about the current practices of mechanized coppice forests harvesting. Technically the analysed machines were supposed to be suitable for coppice harvesting operations, but until now no studies have been carried out to assess productivity figures (e.g., productive and non- productive time, costs) and other relevant parameters (e.g., quality of the cut).

Methods and Material

Field studies of three different machines were carried out under representative work conditions, as offered by commercial operations. The three machine models represented three technically different cutting mechanisms, namely: single-bladed shear; two-bladed shear; hot-saw. In five case studies data concerning time consumption, usage intensity, investment costs, fuel consumption, reliability and productivity were collected. Time elements were recorded with a Husky FS/2 handheld field computer running selfprogrammed time study software with an accuracy of 1 second.

The following studies have been carried out:

1. **Mediterranean oak coppice**
   This study was carried out in a 20 years old mixed oak coppice stand (Q.cerris, Q. pubescens, Q. Ilex, Fraxinus ornus) in Marsilliana (Province Grosseto). The stocking was 131 odt/ha.
   A Comaf Hotsaw was used on a Hitachi Zaxis 210 machine for the harvesting. Some remaining trees were left on the site. The duration of the time study was 3.75 h and 613 stems were felled.

2. Temperate chestnut coppice

This study was carried out in a 20 years old chestnut coppice stand in Carmignano (Province Prato). A Conterno Occelli Forest Cut head was used on a CAT 317 LN Excavator (Shear 2008). The duration of the time study was 3.76 h and 49 big stumps and 331 smaller stems were felled (13 odt in total).


3. Bank consolidation black locust coppice

This study was carried out in a 26 years old riparian stand of pure Robinia pseudoacacia L. coppice, with sporadic Sambucus undergrowth, grown along a riverbank in Ottobiano (Po-region). For felling, a Biasi single-cur shear was used on a Hitachi EX175 3-piece boom excavator. The operation was a clearcut, often at 1-3 m height above ground to reduce risk of shear damage (as Robinia is too hard and resistant for the shear).


4. **Ditch buffer strip mixed-hardwood coppice**

This study was carried out in a buffer strip of cypress and white poplars, grown between two fields of agricultural land in Bratna Dabba close to Padua.
Poplars were 5 years old and growing in the second cycle (15 years old roots). The operation was a clearcut but at least one stem per tree was remaining in a height of 2 m. In the study, a strip of almost 300 m was harvested with a shear on a FH EX 135 excavator.


5. **Poplar short rotation forestry**

This study was carried out in a single-stem short rotation forestry. It can be seen as a benchmark for top productivity under ideal conditions. The 7 years old Max and Monviso clones were felled using a Biasi single-cur shear on a Hitachi EX165 3-piece boom excavator. The sticking was 92 odt/ha and 312 were felled during the 2 hour's time study.


The following parameters have been collected for each study:

1. Placename, municipality and province
2. Forest type
3. Species
4. Rotation length (and total age of forest stands) (y)
5. Mean slope (%)
6. Samples of dbh (cm) and height (m) of felled trees

7. Plot surface (m²)
8. Stumps and stems (pieces/ha)
9. Stocking (odt/ha)
10. Removal (odt/ha)
11. Quality of the cut (clean cut, fibers pulled out, split or chewed off bite)
12. Type, weight (t) and costs (€) of the base machine
13. Type, weight (t) and costs (€) of feller-buncher head
14. Fuel consumption (l/ h)

By using the collected data the following parameters have been calculated for each study:

1. Biomass per tree and total amount of biomass harvested (in odt)
2. Productive and non-productive working time ()h)
3. scheduled and productive machine hours (smh and pmh total and per hectare)
4. Oven- dry tonnes per scheduled and productive machine hours (odt/smh, odt/pmh)
5. Costs (€/h, €/odt)

Results

The results of the studies will be used to produce a Conference paper and a joint article for submission to an international peer-review journal. The idea is to give an overview of currently applied techniques and to evaluate the machines with regard to the above mentioned parameters. Advantages as well as disadvantages of different machines will be identified and technical and/ or organizational improvements will be suggested. In this context also a statistical model to predict felling productivity as a function of relevant independent variables, such as stem size, total stump mass, sprout crowding ratio etc. will be developed.

References

Albizu PM, Tolosana-Esteban E, Roman-Jordan E. 2013. Safety and health in forest harvesting operations. Diagnosis and preventive actions. A review. Forest Systems 22 (3): 392-400.

Bigot M, Cuchet E. 2003. Mechanized harvesting system for hardwoods. Report. 10pp.

Laina R, Tolosana E, Ambrosio Y. 2013. Productivity and cost of biomass harvesting for energy production in coppice natural stands of Quercus pyrenaica Willd. in central Spain. Biomass and Bioenergy 56: 221-229.

Matula R, Svátek M, Kůrová J, Úradníček L, Kadavý J, Kneifl M. 2012. The sprouting ability of the main tree species in Central European coppices: implications for coppice restoration. Eur J Forest Res 131:1501- 151. DOI 10.1007/s10342-012-0618-5.

Spiecker H. 2003. Silvicultural management in maintaining biodiversity and resistance of forests in Europe—temperate zone. Journal of Environmental Management (67): 55-65.

Spinelli R, Ebone A, Gianella M. 2014. Biomass production from traditional coppice management in northern Italy. Biomass and Bioenergy (62): 68–73.

Suchomel C, Becker G, Pyttel P. 2011. Fully Mechanized Harvesting in Aged Oak Coppice Stands. Forest Products Journal, 61 (4), 290-296.