



COST ACTION FP1301

“Innovative management and multifunctional utilization of traditional coppice forests - an answer to future ecological, economic and social challenges in the European forestry sector (EuroCoppice)”

WG 5 Meeting NOTES

Conseil Régional Nouvelle Aquitaine (Regional Council of New Aquitaine)
27 boulevard de la Corderie – 87000
Limoges, France
19th of June, 2017

Attended by:

Debbie Bartlett
Rubben Laina

Miljenko Zupanic

Apologies:

Giulio Sperandio
Eulalia Gomez Martin

Amaia Albizua
Nenad Petrovic

1. Final Action Deliverables

Extended abstracts for both the outputs put forward as abstracts to present at IUFRO are required by the end of July for inclusion in the final document.

ACTION: DB & NP to draft and circulate for comment by 14th July

2. IUFRO presentations

Abstract 1 (Croatia, England, Spain). Basic outline agreed during the meetings. ABM is an issue and we are depending on Eulalia (now working in Hamburg, Germany) to do this. There may be some help from staff at University of Greenwich.

ACTION: DB to liaise with EGM

As no one involved in the second abstract has registered for IUFRO this abstract is no longer included in the IUFRO coppice session.

3. The two papers

3.1 The potential barriers to persistence and development of small scale coppice forest management in Europe. (Croatia, England, Spain) is progressing and will be submitted to e.g. iForest by end of October

3.2 Traditional community based Coppice Forest Management as solution for present fragmented small scale forest ownership (Italy, Serbia and the Netherlands). This was discussed and the paper produced by Giulio is informative but perhaps contains too much detail. We suggest that it is reduced in length. The basic information in the introduction and conclusion can be adapted to include the perspectives of the other countries and the remainder be adapted as a case study. Hopefully the

other two countries can follow a similar format for their case studies of community coppice management.

Action: NS to liaise with the other authors, develop, and circulate draft by July 31st
MZ mentioned the situation during the socialist period when, in some countries, all the larger ownerships of woodland were nationalised. Restitution has restored these not always to the original owners (or their descendants) but to the village or local authorities. Could this be the basis for another case study? How are these managed?

4. Potential future collaborations

Opportunities will be explored as they emerge – the situation regarding Brexit remains unclear.